

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicant hereby elects Group I.

While applicant acknowledges that Group II can be separately useable from Group I, applicant disagrees with examiner's characterization of Group II as being drawn to "studio equipment for processing television signals".

Group II is clearly drawn to an algorithmic process for performing steps and not to a particular piece of equipment.

Applicant has amended independent claim 17, to incorporate the relevant language of Group I, thereby bringing it and its dependencies under Group I, and to more clearly and particularly claim the invention.

Claim 19 has been amended to correct a spelling error.

As the substantive amendment to Claim 17 merely combines the limitations of claims that were originally filed and the elements of each of these are supported separately, applicant submits that the combination of the elements is also supported by the disclosure.

I submit the application is now in condition for allowance which action is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Anne C. Avellohe
Reg. No. 43,479